

This article was downloaded by:

On: 23 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597273>

TLC Profiling of Impurities of 1-(3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-nitropropene an Intermediate in MDMA Synthesis. Influence of Sample Preparation Methods and Conditions

J. Kochana^a; J. Wilamowski^b; A. Parczewski^{ac}

^a Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland ^b

Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland ^c

Institute of Forensic Research, Cracow, Poland

Online publication date: 08 May 2004

To cite this Article Kochana, J. , Wilamowski, J. and Parczewski, A.(2004) 'TLC Profiling of Impurities of 1-(3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-nitropropene an Intermediate in MDMA Synthesis. Influence of Sample Preparation Methods and Conditions', *Journal of Liquid Chromatography & Related Technologies*, 27: 15, 2463 – 2470

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1081/JLC-200028182

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/JLC-200028182>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

TLC Profiling of Impurities of 1-(3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-nitropropene an Intermediate in MDMA Synthesis. Influence of Sample Preparation Methods and Conditions

J. Kochana,^{1,*} J. Wilamowski,² and A. Parczewski^{1,3}

¹Department of Analytical Chemistry and ²Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University, Cracow, Poland

³Institute of Forensic Research, Cracow, Poland

ABSTRACT

Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was employed for screening profiling of impurities of 1-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-nitropropene, an intermediate product of (3,4-methylenedioxy)methamphetamine (MDMA) synthesis (from piperonal). Liquid–liquid extraction (LLE) and solid phase extraction (SPE) were applied to isolate impurities from the drug matrix. The SPE extraction process was performed on C₈ columns and LLE was carried out using *n*-heptane. The influence of buffer solutions

*Correspondence: J. Kochana, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Jagiellonian University, Ingardena 3, 30-060 Cracow, Poland; E-mail: kochana@chemia.uj.edu.pl.

2463

DOI: 10.1081/JLC-200028182
Copyright © 2004 by Marcel Dekker, Inc.

1082-6076 (Print); 1520-572X (Online)
www.dekker.com

Request Permissions / Order Reprints
powered by **RIGHTSLINK**
COPYRIGHT CLEARANCE CENTER, INC.

(pH 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, and 14) on the extraction efficiency was investigated. The chromatograms were developed with the use of chloroform:ethyl acetate (98:2) mixture. The spots of separated impurities were observed under UV light at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 254$ and 366 nm. The proposed semiquantitative criterion of profile (chromatogram) quality has been based on a matrix presentation of TLC patterns. It takes into account the number of spots revealed, differences between R_f values, and intensity of fluorescence, simultaneously.

Key Words: LLE/TLC profiling; SPE/TLC profiling; MDMA.

INTRODUCTION

In the 1980s, 3,4-(methylenedioxy)methamphetamine (MDMA), widely known as “ecstasy,” appeared in parts of Europe and began to be used in the dance-scene culture known as “rave,” “acid house,” or “techno.”^[1] Presently, Europe remains an important area for the production and use of amphetamine and ecstasy.^[2] Although there has been some fluctuation in recent years, both amphetamine and MDMA seizures (numbers and quantities) have increased substantially in Europe over the last decade. To control the production and abuse of drugs it is necessary to develop analytical procedures that could provide valuable information required for law enforcement purposes.

Each seized drug sample contains impurities, which depend on the method of synthesis, specific reaction conditions, substrates, intermediate products, side reaction, purification procedures, and diluents applied. The samples synthesised by the same method, in the same laboratory, belonging to the same batch, contain similar composition of impurities (a profile). On the other hand, any change in conditions of synthesis, the use of different sources of substrates, results in different profiles (chromatograms) of impurities. Consequently, profiling of drug impurities can provide useful information concerning the method of synthesis, the origin of a drug sample, connection between illicit drug laboratories, ways of distribution, and deliverers.

While there is a number of papers concerning amphetamine and methamphetamine profiling, only a few deal with profiling of MDMA impurities.^[3–9] It is usually carried out by means of a GC method preceded by liquid–liquid extraction (LLE),^[3–5] SPME,^[6] or solid phase extraction (SPE).^[8] Determination of “common-batch” members in a set of confiscated MDMA samples by determination of the natural isotope abundances has also been reported.^[9] Usually, an MDMA sample (a powder) is dissolved in the acetate (pH = 5),^[6] phosphate (pH = 9), or carbonate (pH = 10)^[3] buffer solution. Also, solutions of pH = 11.5^[5] and 12.8^[4] were applied.

The aim of the present work was to study the influence of sample preparation methods, particularly pH of buffer solution used in sample dissolution, on the thin layer chromatography (TLC) profiling process. Also, the efficiency of LLE and SPE of drug impurities has been compared.

1-(3,4-Methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-nitropropene, an intermediate product of MDMA synthesis (piperonal used as a subtract) has been examined, as it may be supposed that this transfers the impurities from the first steps of synthesis to the final product (MDMA). On the other hand, the compound may be widely used as a model matrix for examination and development of profiling procedures because no special permission is necessary to deal with it.

The quality of TLC profiles was estimated by means of semiquantitative criterions based on matrix presentation of TLC patterns.^[10,11] They take into account the number of spots revealed, differences between R_f values, and intensity of fluorescence, simultaneously. The approach was applied in SPE/TLC profiling of MDMA impurities.^[10,11]

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals and Reagents

The following reagents were used: 1-(3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl)-2-nitropropene synthesised from piperonal,^[7] chloroform (Merck, Germany, gradient grade), ethyl acetate (POCH, Poland, analytical grade), and buffer solutions: mixture of KCl and HCl (pH = 1), acetate buffer (pH = 4 and 5), phosphate buffer (pH = 6, 7, and 8), carbonate buffer (pH = 10 and 12), mixture of KCl and NaOH (pH = 13), 1 mol/L NaOH (pH = 14).

Profiling Procedure

The profiling procedure consisted of two steps: extraction of impurities and TLC separation.

SPE

The tested powdered product (100 mg) was added to 1 mL of buffer solution, the suspension was mixed on a horizontal shaker (for 40 min), and then centrifuged. Supernatant liquid (500 μ L) was injected into the C₈ (100 mg) extraction column (Baker Bond). VAC ELUT (Varian, USA) SPE set was used. The matrix was eluted with the use of 2 mL of distilled water. The analytes were recovered by washing the column with 5 portions of methanol, each 50 μ L. The extract (8 μ L) was taken for the TLC separation.

LLE

The tested powered product (200 mg) was added to 2 mL of buffer solution, the suspension was mixed on a horizontal shaker (for 40 min), 500 μ L of *n*-heptane was added, and the mixture was shaken once again for 40 min. A portion of 4 μ L of organic layer was taken for TLC separation.

TLC Separation

Silica gel plates (0.2 mm) with fluorescent indicator 60F₂₅₄ (Merck, Germany), NANOMAT IV (CAMAG, Switzerland) application device, and a horizontal developing chamber (CAMAG, Switzerland) were applied. The chromatograms were developed with chloroform:ethyl acetate (98:2) mixture. The spots of separated impurities were observed under UV light at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 254$ and 366 nm.

Quality of the Profile

The proposed characteristics (criteria) of the profile quality are based on a matrix presentation of TLC pattern.^[10,11] Dimension of a symmetrical matrix is equal to the number of spots revealed. It was assumed, arbitrarily, that an element a_{ij} of the matrix equals 1 if $100(R_{fi} - R_{fj}) > 6$. Then the spots i and j are completely separated. If the spots i and j are partly separated, but can be distinguished, a_{ij} equals 0.5. Otherwise $a_{ij} = 0$. The diagonal elements of the matrix, a_{ii} , equal 0 if the TLC plate is observed under $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 254$ nm (spots extinguish the fluorescence of fluorescent indicator of plates). At $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 366$ nm the spots fluorescence and the diagonal element $a_{ii} = 1$ if the spot i fluoresces intensively, $a_{ii} = 0.5$ in case of clear fluorescence, and $a_{ii} = 0.1$ in case of faint fluorescence. A scheme of matrix is shown in Fig. 1.

In the present investigations, the elements of the above mentioned matrix has been determined for each TLC separation. Next, values of the following optimization parameters (criteria of TLC profile quality) were calculated:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_1 &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^N a_{ij(254 \text{ nm})}; & Y_2 &= \sum_{\substack{i,j=1 \\ i < j}}^N a_{ij(366 \text{ nm})}; & Y_3 &= Y_1 + Y_2; \\
 Y_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^N a_{ii(366 \text{ nm})} & & & & (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccccc}
 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & \dots & N \\
 1 & a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} & \dots & a_{1N} \\
 2 & & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} & \dots & a_{2N} \\
 3 & & & a_{33} & a_{34} & \dots & a_{3N} \\
 4 & & & & a_{44} & \dots & a_{4N} \\
 \dots & & & & & a_{\dots} & a_{\dots N} \\
 N & & & & & & a_{NN}
 \end{array}$$

Figure 1. Matrix presentation of a SPE/TLC profile quality; N—number of spots revealed; a_{ij} and a_{ii} are explained in the text.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

On the basis of the results of TLC separations that were carried out after application of different buffer solutions and both extraction methods (LLE and SPE), values of the criteria Y_1 , Y_2 , Y_3 , and Y_4 (Eq. (1)) were calculated. They are presented in Table 1. It is seen that the number of spots observed, as well as the Y values, strongly depends on pH of buffer solution in which samples were dissolved.

For LLE, the best profile quality was obtained at pH = 5. In these conditions, the numbers of spots revealed (influencing criterion Y_3) and the intensity of fluorescence (Y_4) are the highest. In the case of SPE, the best result was obtained when buffer of pH = 14 was used, that made possible the separation of eight clear fluoresced spots ($Y_3 = 19.5$ and $Y_4 = 3.0$).

Comparison of the data presented in Table 1 show that LLE is a more effective method of separation of characteristic drug impurities as compare to SPE. In most cases, the values of profile quality criteria, Y , appeared higher for LLE than for SPE.

CONCLUSIONS

It has been shown that buffer solutions (pH) used for preparation of drug samples strongly influences the impurity profile. The LLE proved to be the more effective (than SPE) method of isolation of characteristic impurities from the drug matrix.

Table 1. The influence of used buffer solution on the TLC profile.

Buffer solution (pH)	LLE								SPE									
	Number of spots revealed				Quality parameters ^a				Number of spots revealed				Quality parameters ^a					
	254 nm	366 nm	Y ₁	Y ₂	Y ₃	Y ₄	254 nm	366 nm	Y ₁	Y ₂	Y ₃	Y ₄	254 nm	366 nm	Y ₁	Y ₂	Y ₃	Y ₄
1	4	4	5.5	5.5	11.0	2.1	2	3	1.0	3.0	4.0	1.8						
4	4	5	5.5	9.5	15.0	2.6	2	3	1.0	3.0	4.0	2.0						
5	4	8	5.0	26.0	31.0	3.8	3	4	1.0	6.0	7.0	2.4						
6	3	8	2.5	26.5	29.0	3.8	2	3	1.0	3.0	4.0	1.8						
7	3	6	2.0	14.5	16.5	3.6	2	4	1.0	6.0	7.0	1.4						
8	4	6	5.0	13.5	18.5	2.8	2	4	1.0	6.0	7.0	1.4						
10	3	7	2.0	19.5	21.5	4.2	2	4	1.0	6.0	7.0	1.3						
11	4	6	5.5	13.5	19.0	3.6	2	3	1.0	3.0	4.0	1.2						
13	5	5	9.0	9.0	18.0	2.1	2	5	1.0	9.5	10.5	1.8						
14	3	8	2.0	25.0	27.0	3.8	2	8	1.0	18.5	19.5	3.0						

^aMean values calculated from the results of two experiments.

The studies on searching for optimum composition of TLC eluent have been presented elsewhere.^[12] In addition to, the improvement of the quality profile (increase the TLC sensitivity) by thickening of SPE extract by evaporation in nitrogen stream has been tested.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This study was partly supported by a grant OT 00C 01024 from the Committee for Scientific Research, Poland.

REFERENCES

1. Olszewski, D.; Burkhart, G. EMCDDA. *Recreational Drug Use—A Key EU Challenge. Drug in Focus*; European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 2002; Vol. 6.
2. Olszewski, D.; Burkhart, G. *Annual Report*; European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction, 2003.
3. Palhal, F.; Boyer, S.; Naulet, N.; Chabrilat, M. Impurity profiling of seized MDMA tablets by capillary gas chromatography. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2002**, *374*, 274–281.
4. Gimeno, P.; Basacier, F.; Chaudron-Thozet, H.; Girard, J.; Lamotte, A. A contribution to the chemical profiling of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) tablets. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2002**, *127*, 1–44.
5. Gimeno, P.; Basacier, F.; Chaudron-Thozet, H. Optimization of extraction parameters for the chemical profiling of 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) tablets. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2003**, *132*, 182–194.
6. Kongshaug, K.E.; Pedersen-Bjergaard, S.; Rasmussen, K.E.; Krogh, M. Solid-phase microextraction/capillary gas chromatography for the profiling of confiscated ecstasy and amphetamine. *Chromatographia* **1999**, *50* (3/4), 247–252.
7. Verweij, A.M.A. Impurities in illicit drug preparations: 3,4-(methylenedioxy)-amphetamine and 3,4-(methylenedioxy)methylamphetamine. *Forensic Sci. Rev.* **1992**, *4* (2), 137–143.
8. Rashed, A.M.; Anderson, R.A.; King, L.A. Solid-phase extraction for profiling of ecstasy. *J. Forensic Sci.* **2000**, *45* (2), 413–417.
9. Mas, F.; Beemsterboer, B.; Veltkamp, A.C.; Verweij, A.M.A. Determination of ‘common-batch’ members in a set of confiscated 3,4-(methylenedioxy)methylamphetamine samples by measuring the natural

- isotope abundances: a preliminary study. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **1995**, *71*, 225–231.
10. Kochana, J.; Wilamowski, J.; Parczewski, A.; Surma, M. Synthesis of standards of the most important markers of Leuckart *p*-methoxymethamphetamine (PMMA). Examination of the influence of experimental conditions and a drug diluent on SPE/TLC profiling. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2003**, *134*, 207–213.
 11. Kochana, J.; Wilamowski, J.; Parczewski, A. Profiling of impurities in *p*-methoxymethamphetamine (PMMA) by means of SPE/TLC method. Examination of the influence of experimental conditions according to 2⁴ factorial. *Forensic Sci. Int.* **2003**, *134*, 214–218.
 12. Kochana, J.; Wilamowski, J.; Parczewski, A. SPE/TLC profiling of impurities of 1-(3,4-methylenedioxy-phenyl)-2-nitropropene an intermediate in MDMA synthesis. *Chromatographia* (accepted for publication).

Received March 12, 2004

Accepted April 1, 2004

Manuscript 6358